

PATENT

Attorney Docket: 739-X01-005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Appln. of: C.J.G. Everts and W. Berghorn

Group Art Unit: 3628

Appln. No.: 09/870,387

Confirmation No. 7493

Filed: May 29, 2001

For: METHOD AND COMPUTER SYSTEM FOR COMPUTING AND DISPLAYING A PHASE
SPACE

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MAIL STOP – AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of June 28, 2006, Applicants elect Species 1A for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-7, 10-13, 16, 19, and 21-27 read on the elected species. Applicants further submit that claim 1 is generic to all of the identified species.

The requirement is traversed on the ground that the inventions are interrelated and there would not be any hardship in examining all claims together. In fact, two of the three identified species are classified in the same class and subclass as set forth in the Office Action. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the requirement be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul Bianco, Reg. #43,500
FOR MARTIN FLEIT #16,900

FLEIT KAIN GIBBONS GUTMAN BONGINI & BIANCO
21355 East Dixie Highway, Suite 115
Miami, Florida 33180
Tel: 305-830-2600; Fax: 305-830-2605
e-mail: PBianco@FocusOnIP.com